

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**George Subh,**

S/o Rustam Mashi,

Ward No. 12, Begowal,

Tehsil Bholath,

District Kapurthala – 144 621

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

District Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**

o/o Inspector General of Police,

Patiala Range,

District Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 3698 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 94643-92733

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **6.7.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **by way of a list of officers / officials belonging to the Scheduled Caste category**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **2.9.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **26.11.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **24.3.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent but has emailed his submission on 24.3.2021, to state that he has received the requested information. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, is present through Video Conference from Patiala, has also stated that the information (10 pages) was sent to the appellant vide letter No. 359 dated 3.2.2021.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

**(ASIT JOLLY)**

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh

24.3.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Sat Paul Sharma,**  
S/o Inder Raj Sharma,  
Flat No. 203/1, Block-2,  
House Fed Complex, Banur,  
District Mohal – 140 601

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Municipal Corporation,  
Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Municipal Corporation,  
Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 3716 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Sat Paul Sharma (Appellant) 78889-86540

(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **24.12.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the lowest bidder in Tender No. 2018 DLG 18232 1, irregularity, negligence and fraud for stray dog sterilization,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.2.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **25.11.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **24.3.2021**.

2. The respondent PIO is absent without intimation. The appellant Sat Paul Sharma is present through Video Conference from Patiala. An examination of this appeal as well as original RTI application, shows that the appellant has not specifically cited anything that could be defined as “information” as per Section 2 of the RTI Act, 2005. In fact, the RTI application is vague and reads as under:

*“I want to bring it to your kind notice that I had submitted my letter to the clerk on duty and she has given me a receipt.no. 3881/MOR dated 02-12-2019. In the letter I have asked you to furnish me the information, **two lowest bidder in the same tender.no.2018\_DLG\_18232\_1, Irregularity, Negligence And Fraud, For stray dog***

Contd....2

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## Appeal Case No. 3716 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

*sterilization in jurisdiction in Patiala. Sir more than 20 days have passed I have not heard any reply from your side. So I have to take the help of R.T.I. Act 2005. Your are requested to reply my letter in time. I am enclosing a copy of previous letter sent to the Commissioner MC Patiala."*

3. Under the circumstances, this Commission sees no purpose in continuing this appeal case which is herewith **Dismissed and Closed**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
24.3.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Sat Paul Sharma,**

S/o Inder Raj Sharma,

Flat No. 203/1, Block-2,

House Fed Complex, Banur,

District Mohal – 140 601

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Superintendent Engineer,

Municipal Corporation,

Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**

o/o Municipal Corporation,

Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 3717 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Sat Paul Sharma (Appellant) 78889-86540

(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **24.12.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding rejection of his tender / bid**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.2.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **25.11.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **24.3.2021**.

2. The respondent PIO is absent without intimation. The appellant Sat Paul Sharma is present through Video Conference from Patiala. An examination of this appeal as well as original RTI application shows that the appellant has not specifically cited anything that could be defined as "information" as per Section 2 of the RTI Act, 2005. In fact, the RTI application is vague and reads as under:

*"I want to bring it to your kind notice that I have handed over the letter to the madam and she had put her signature on the receiving copy of the letter dated: 13.11.2019. In which I have asked you the reason for my tender rejection. The copy of letter I am enclosing*

Contd. ...2

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## Appeal Case No. 3717 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

*where for your reference. More than 39 days have passed I have not heard any reply from your side. And I was forced to write you kindly reply my letter in time."*

3. Under the circumstances, this Commission sees no purpose in continuing this appeal case, which is herewith **Dismissed and Closed**.

Sd/-  
(ASIT JOLLY)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
24.3.2021